

MAGNETIC IMPURITIES IN THE BOROCARBIDE $\text{YNi}_2\text{B}_2\text{C}$

M. Willner¹, S. Manalo², H. Michor¹, M. El-Hagary¹, G. Hilscher¹

¹*Institut für Experimentalphysik, Technische Universität Wien, 1040 Wien, Austria.*

²*Institut für Theoretische Physik, Johannes Kepler Universität Linz, 4040 Linz, Austria*

(Received August 30, 2001)

Measurements of the specific heat and the magnetization on the quaternary borocarbides $R\text{Ni}_2\text{B}_2\text{C}$ ($R = \text{Gd}, \text{Er}, \text{and Ho}$) show that the critical temperature T_c scales roughly with the DeGennes factor $(g_J - 1)^2 J(J + 1)$. In $\text{GdNi}_2\text{B}_2\text{C}$ superconductivity is suppressed by magnetic pair-breaking, whereas in the systems $\text{Y}_{1-x}\text{Er}_x\text{Ni}_2\text{B}_2\text{C}$ and $\text{Y}_{1-x}\text{Ho}_x\text{Ni}_2\text{B}_2\text{C}$ superconductivity and magnetism coexist within the whole range of $0 \leq x \leq 1$. For $R\text{Ni}_2\text{B}_2\text{C}$ with $R = \text{Er}, \text{Ho}$ and Dy one can show that the coherence length $\xi(0)$ is larger than the lattice parameters of the system, so that the magnetic ions act on the Cooper pairs. Measurements on $(Y,R)\text{Ni}_2\text{B}_2\text{C}$ show that the variation of the specific heat jump $\Delta C(T_c)$ vs. T_c roughly scales with the Abrikosov–Gor’kov theory for highly diluted systems [1]. Due to these features of the $(Y,R)\text{Ni}_2\text{B}_2\text{C}$ system, and the fact that it can be very well described by the Eliashberg theory [2], calculations were done to test whether the systems with $R = \text{Er}, \text{Ho}, \text{Dy}, \text{Gd}$ and Yb can simply be described by $\text{YNi}_2\text{B}_2\text{C}$ including magnetic impurities. The calculations show that the model works well for $x \ll 1$.

Key words: borocarbides, magnetic impurities, Eliashberg, phase transition.

PACS number(s): 74.25.Bt, 74.70.Dd, 74.62.Yb, 74.20.-z

The rare-earth nickel borocarbides $R\text{Ni}_2\text{B}_2\text{C}$ received much attention in the last years due to their very interesting superconducting and magnetic properties. The compounds with the nonmagnetic rare-earth atoms Lu or Y show conventional electron-phonon superconductivity with a relatively high superconducting transition temperature between 15 K and 16 K. These nonmagnetic borocarbides can be very well described by theoretical calculations based on Eliashberg theory [2]. With heavy rare-earth ions R like $\text{Dy}, \text{Ho}, \text{Er}$ or Tm , coexistence of superconductivity and magnetism is observed. The interesting interplay of superconductivity and magnetism in the pseudoquaternary system $(Y,R)\text{Ni}_2\text{B}_2\text{C}$ ($R = \text{Gd}, \text{Tb}, \text{Dy}, \text{Ho}, \text{Er}$ and Tm) was investigated by various experiments [3].

In this paper, we investigate if it is possible to describe the superconducting and thermodynamic properties of the $(Y,R)\text{Ni}_2\text{B}_2\text{C}$ system by using $\text{YNi}_2\text{B}_2\text{C}$ as a base system and adding paramagnetic impurities instead of the heavy rare-earth ions within the scope of Eliashberg theory. It was already shown that the thermodynamic and superconducting properties of $\text{LuNi}_2\text{B}_2\text{C}$ and $\text{YNi}_2\text{B}_2\text{C}$ can be very well described by this approach [2]. Furthermore, the values of T_c from the experiments roughly scale with the Abrikosov–Gor’kov pair-breaking theory [1] which provides a simple relation for T_c as a function of the magnetic impurity concentration $\ln(T_{c0}/T_c) = \Phi(\rho T_{c0}/(2T_c) + 1/2) + \Phi(1/2)$; where Φ is the digamma function, T_c and T_{c0} are the transition temperatures in the presence and absence of the magnetic impurities, respectively, and ρ is the pair-breaking parameter.

The first step of our analysis was to calculate T_{c0}/T_c as a function of the paramagnetic impurity content in $\text{YNi}_2\text{B}_2\text{C}$. The $\alpha^2(\omega)F(\omega)$ spectrum of the borocarbides,

where $\alpha^2(\omega)$ is the electron-phonon coupling function and $F(\omega)$ is the phonon density of states, is shown in Fig. 1. We have used the phonon density of states of Gompf *et al.* [5] for $\text{YNi}_2\text{B}_2\text{C}$ and weighed it with two decreasing functions of ω : $\alpha^2(\omega) = \omega^{-s}$, with $s = 1/2, 1$ as was done by Junod [6] with the A15 compounds. Calculations for clean $\text{YNi}_2\text{B}_2\text{C}$ within Eliashberg theory using the spectrum with $s = 1/2$ showed that this spectrum is sufficient for describing the thermodynamic properties and upper critical field of this compound [2]. All other characteristic parameters used in the calculations are listed in Table 1.




Fig. 1. Electron-phonon spectral functions $\alpha^2(\omega)F(\omega)$ for $\text{YNi}_2\text{B}_2\text{C}$ obtained from neutron scattering data of Gompf *et al.* [5]. The spectrum of $\text{LuNi}_2\text{B}_2\text{C}$ was calculated by W. Weber of Universität Dortmund [4] and is shown for comparison.

In order to compare the thermodynamic properties resulting from our analysis with the experimental data, which are functions of T_c/T_{c0} , we have to find a way to map the impurity potential t_- to the impurity concentration x . This is done by calculating the behaviour of T_c/T_{c0} as a function of t_- and using the experimental data, which are T_c/T_{c0} vs. x plots, to obtain the relation t_- vs. x for each compound. The numerical results for T_c/T_{c0} vs. the paramagnetic impurity potential t_- are shown in Fig. 2 (top). From this figure one can observe that the critical energy of the paramagnetic impurities is $t_{-, \text{crit}} = 0.4761$ meV. To correlate these results to the experimental data obtained from specific heat and susceptibility measurements (Fig. 2, bottom left), we used the relation for the magnetic scattering potential t_- as

a function of the concentration x :

$$t_-(x) = xS(S+1)N(0) \int |V(\Omega)|^2 d\Omega, \quad (1)$$

where S is the spin, $N(0)$ the density of states, and the $V(\Omega)$ is the scattering potential as a function of the scattering angle Ω . If we set $I(N, V) = N(0) \int |V(\Omega)|^2 d\Omega$, then $t_-/x = S(S+1)I(N, V)$. For small x one can assume that this expression is a constant for a given element. This assumption still holds for the $Y_{1-x}R_x\text{Ni}_2\text{B}_2\text{C}$ series because even for $x = 1$, where Y is fully replaced with a magnetic ion, only 1/6 th of the unit cell is paramagnetic. Later in this work we will show that this is only valid for small x .

T_{c0}	λ	γ	atoms	μ^*	$\langle b^2 \rangle$	$\langle a^2 \rangle$
15.445 K	1.2	0.0029 J/g at K ²	$9.17474 \cdot 10^{22}/\text{cm}^{-3}$	0.112101	0.29	0.03

Table 1. Characteristic of parameters of $\text{YNi}_2\text{B}_2\text{C}$ used in the calculations for this work. T_{c0} is the critical temperature, λ the electron-phonon coupling parameter, γ the Sommerfeld constant obtained from specific heat measurements, μ^* the Coulomb pseudo-potential, $\langle b^2 \rangle$ the anisotropy parameter of the Fermi velocity, and $\langle a^2 \rangle$ the electron-phonon coupling anisotropy parameter.




Fig. 2. Numerical results for T_c/T_{c0} vs. t_- (top) and experimental data on T_c/T_{c0} vs. x (bottom left) for $Y_{1-x}R_x\text{Ni}_2\text{B}_2\text{C}$, where R is the rare-earth element as labeled. From these two diagrams the t_- versus x relation is obtained (bottom right).

Fig. 2 (bottom right) shows the combined data from the numerical results and the experimental data in a t_- vs. x diagram. The dotted lines are linear fits $t_- = k * x$ of the given data points, which are based on the assumption that t_-/x is constant for small x . With the results for k and the critical impurity potential $t_{-, \text{crit}}$ one can calculate the critical concentrations x_{crit} for each element, and the results are shown in Table 2. These are the main results from our calculations. The x_{crit} values are only higher than 1 for Er and Ho, which shows that for the other compounds superconductivity breaks down before full substitution of Y by the magnetic ions. This further means that the only fully substituted compounds which can be described by Eliashberg theory with $\text{YNi}_2\text{B}_2\text{C}$ as base compound are $\text{ErNi}_2\text{B}_2\text{C}$ and $\text{HoNi}_2\text{B}_2\text{C}$. With these results we are now able to describe the thermodynamic properties as functions of T_c/T_{c0} .

	Er	Ho	Dy	Gd	Yb
t_-/x	0.220	0.378	0.578	1.746	9.294
x_{crit}	2.17	1.26	0.81	0.27	0.05

Table 2. Critical concentrations x_{crit} and fitted values for t_-/x for $\text{Y}_{1-x}\text{R}_x\text{Ni}_2\text{B}_2\text{C}$.

The thermodynamic critical field $H_c(T)$ is calculated from the free energy difference between the normal and superconducting states by using the relation

$$H_c(T) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\mu_0} (F_n(T) - F_s(T))},$$

where $F_n(T)$ is the free energy in the normal state and $F_s(T)$ is the corresponding one in the superconducting state. The calculations of $H_c(T)$ were done without taking the electron-phonon coupling anisotropy parameter $\langle a^2 \rangle$ into account (Fig. 3), as it was shown that the anisotropy does not play an important role in describing the thermodynamic properties of $\text{YNi}_2\text{B}_2\text{C}$ [2]. The upper critical field $H_{c2}(T)$, however, is sensitive to changes in $\langle a^2 \rangle$ and therefore can not be described with the Werthamer equation $H_{c2}(0) \approx 0.7T_c H'_{c2}(T_c)$ [7] because it does not take both anisotropy parameters $\langle a^2 \rangle$ and the anisotropy parameter of the Fermi velocity $\langle b^2 \rangle$, which play an important role in describing the upper critical field of $\text{YNi}_2\text{B}_2\text{C}$, into account. Calculations show that the upper critical field close to T_c and for $H_{c2}(0)$ can not be described without using $\langle a^2 \rangle$ and $\langle b^2 \rangle$ [2]. With the anisotropy parameters from Table 1 we get the results for $H_{c2}(T)$ as shown in Fig. 3.




Fig. 3. The thermodynamic critical field $H_c(T)$ and the upper critical field $H_{c2}(T)$ versus T_c/T_{c0} and t_- .




Fig. 4. Calculated jump of the specific heat ΔC and the electronic specific heat contribution C_{es} for $\text{YNi}_2\text{B}_2\text{C}$ with paramagnetic impurities.




Fig. 5. Variation of the specific heat jump ΔC versus the normalized transition temperature T_c/T_{c0} for $\text{Y}_{1-x}\text{R}_x\text{Ni}_2\text{B}_2\text{C}$, where R is the rare-earth atom as labeled. The solid line are the numerical results of this work. The straight line shows the BCS relation where γ is constant.




Fig. 6. Detailed comparison of the experimental and theoretical values for ΔC .

	experiment	calculated	error
	T_c [K]	T_c [K]	f [%]
$\text{YNi}_2\text{B}_2\text{C}$	15.445	15.445	0
$\text{ErNi}_2\text{B}_2\text{C}$	10.8	10.5	-2.8
$\text{HoNi}_2\text{B}_2\text{C}$	8.1	5.7	-30
	$H_c(0)$ [mT]	$H_c(0)$ [mT]	
$\text{YNi}_2\text{B}_2\text{C}$	268	269	0.6
$\text{ErNi}_2\text{B}_2\text{C}$	180	201	12
$\text{HoNi}_2\text{B}_2\text{C}$	110	134	22
	$H_{c2}(0)$ [mT]	$H_{c2}(0)$ [mT]	
$\text{YNi}_2\text{B}_2\text{C}$	7.9	8.0	1.2
$\text{ErNi}_2\text{B}_2\text{C}$	1.8	3.3	83
$\text{HoNi}_2\text{B}_2\text{C}$	0.85	1.0	17
	$\Delta C(T_c)$ [mJ/mol·K]	$\Delta C(T_c)$ [mJ/mol·K]	
$\text{YNi}_2\text{B}_2\text{C}$	572	569	-0.5
$\text{ErNi}_2\text{B}_2\text{C}$	360	249	-30
$\text{HoNi}_2\text{B}_2\text{C}$	140	56	-60

 Table 3. Comparison of various experimental and calculated critical values of $R\text{Ni}_2\text{B}_2\text{C}$.

In our further analysis we calculated the numerical results for the specific heat, the thermodynamic critical field $H_c(T)$ and the upper critical field $H_{c2}(T)$ of $\text{YNi}_2\text{B}_2\text{C}$ with paramagnetic impurities t_- . Fig. 4 shows the electronic specific heat $C_{es}(T_c)$ and the specific heat jump $\Delta C(T_c)/\Delta C_0(T_c)$ versus t_- and T_c/T_{c0} . In Fig. 5 the numerical results are compared to the experimental data. One can see that the numerical results fit the experimental data quite well for $1 < x < 0.7$. The data for $\text{YbNi}_2\text{B}_2\text{C}$ and $\text{DyNi}_2\text{B}_2\text{C}$ do not agree with our calculations due to the fact that the critical concentration with $x = 1$ cannot be reached with our model as was discussed above (see Table 2). In Table 3 the experimental and numerical data are shown together with the relative error, which shows the deviation between the numerical and experimental results in %. For $\text{YNi}_2\text{B}_2\text{C}$ the results are very good as expected. The numerical values for H_c , H_{c2} and ΔC for Er and Ho compounds deviate drastically from

the experimental results. This means that even though the agreement between the experimental data and numerical results in Fig. 5 is very good, we can not simply describe $\text{ErNi}_2\text{B}_2\text{C}$ and $\text{HoNi}_2\text{B}_2\text{C}$ in the scope of Eliashberg theory using $\text{YNi}_2\text{B}_2\text{C}$ as base compound and adding paramagnetic impurities corresponding to $x = 1$. To clarify the situation the experimental and theoretical values for ΔC are compared to each in Fig. 6. It shows that, for small amounts of x ($T_c/T_{c0} \gg 0.8$, $x = 0.2$ for Er, and $x = 0.025$ for Gd) in $\text{Y}_{1-x}\text{R}_x\text{Ni}_2\text{B}_2\text{C}$, the experimental and theoretical values agree very well. For these values of x the impurity concentration is below 3%. As a conclusion one can say that it is possible to describe the properties of $\text{Y}_{1-x}\text{R}_x\text{Ni}_2\text{B}_2\text{C}$ with $R = \text{Er, Ho, Dy, Gd}$ and Yb for small values of x by using $\text{YNi}_2\text{B}_2\text{C}$ as base compound with paramagnetic impurities within Eliashberg theory.

-
- [1] M. El-Hagary, H. Michor, G. Hilscher, Phys. Rev. B **61**, 11695 (2000).
 [2] S. Manalo, Diploma Thesis, Technische Universität Wien (1999) (unpublished). S. Manalo, H. Michor, M. El-Hagary, G. Hilscher, E. Schachinger, Phys. Rev. B **63**, 104508 (2001).
 [3] G. Hilscher, H. Michor, *Studies of High Temperature Superconductors* Vol.28 p.241.
 [4] W. Weber, Universität Dortmund, Inst. für Theoretische Physik II, Otto-Hahn-Str. 4, D-44221 Dortmund (unpublished).
 [5] F. Gompf, W. Reichardt, H. Schober, B. Renker,

- M. Buchgeister, Phys. Rev. B **55**, 9058 (1997). [7] N. R. Werthamer, E. Helfand, P. C. Hohenberg, Phys. Rev. **147**, 295 (1966).
- [6] A. Junod, T. Jarlborg, J. Muller, Phys. Rev. B **27**, 1568 (1983).

МАГНЕТНІ ДОМІШКИ В БОРОКАРБІДІ YNi_2B_2C

М. Вільнер¹, Ш. Манало², Г. Міхор¹, М. Ель-Гагарі¹, Г. Гільшер¹

¹Інститут експериментальної фізики,

Віденський технічний університет, 1040, Відень, Австрія

²Інститут теоретичної фізики,

Університет ім. Йогана Кеплера, 4040, Лінц, Австрія

Вимірювання питомої теплоємності та намагніченості в чотирикомпонентних борокарбідах RNi_2B_2C ($R = Gd, Er$ і Ho) показують, що критична температура T_c наближено масштабується із фактором Де Жена $(g_J - 1)^2 J(J + 1)$. У $GdNi_2B_2C$ надпровідність зникає через магнетне розщеплення пар, тоді як у системах $Y_{1-x}Er_xNi_2B_2C$ та $Y_{1-x}Ho_xNi_2B_2C$ надпровідність і магнетизм співіснують на цілому проміжку $0 \leq x \leq 1$. Для RNi_2B_2C із $R = Er, Ho$ і Dy можна показати, що кореляційна довжина $\xi(0)$ є більшою від параметра ґратки системи, так що магнетні йони впливають на куперівські пари. Вимірювання над $(Y,R)Ni_2B_2C$ показують, що зміна стрибка теплоємності $\Delta C(T_c)$ щодо T_c підлягає приблизному степеневому співвідношенню відповідно до теорії Абрикосова-Горькова для систем із великим розведенням [1]. Згідно з указаними властивостями системи $(Y,R)Ni_2B_2C$ та тим фактом, що її можна добре описати теорією Еліашберґа, були проведені обчислення, аби протестувати, чи системи з $R = Er, Ho, Dy, Gd$ і Yb можуть просто описуватися YNi_2B_2C із магнетними домішками. Обчислення показують, що модель добре працює при $x \ll 1$.